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PLEASE NOTE THAT NONE OF THE STATEMENTS MADE IN THESE ENTRIES ARE SPECIOUS AND THAT THEY ARE SUPPORTABLE WITH DOCUMENTS AND EXHIBITS.

James R. Aymann (Jim) is not an attorney, he is a worker. We believe that these stories are both timely and compelling.

onemansfight@cox.net
James R. Aymann (Jim) celebrated his 71st birthday a few days ago. Once again, Jim is a combat veteran of the Vietnam War with a service connected disability.

In August 2014, Jim created the website, onemansfightagainstcorruption.net

In the past year alone, (July 2015 – July 2016) this website has had over 14,000 visits, to include Europe, Asia, Latin America, Australia, New Zealand with a following from China, Ukraine and Brazil.

To date, Jim has no accounting for visits by mobile phones and backdoor visits that include phrases and this is without the aid of press releases, networking, advertising etc.

Jim has also received hundreds of favorable emails in reference to this website from all over the world.

What is interesting is that in the past year nothing has been added to this website.

However, Jim has posted several articles on the Internet under pseudonyms.

There is a populist movement that is sweeping across this country!

This movement is deeply factionalized with progressive sentiments at one end of the spectrum and reactionary sentiments at the other end but the common theme and the common thread that runs through this movement is the complete and total disgust by the populace involving the corruption in the private sector (big business) and the corresponding corruption of government at all levels and all branches.
CORRUPTION IN THE NEVADA LEGAL INDUSTRY

“Most Hated Professions – Top 10 List” states:

2 Lawyer 13%

They are the bottom feeding scum of the earth. Their goal and only goal is to get your money out of your bank account into theirs. Then leave you in debt as much as they can. They pretend they are your best friend but when it is all said and done if you called them a week later they don't even know your name. Forget this. Tell you something one day and as time goes by they lie and say they never said that. They are all show in the court room but are actually friends probably laughing at there clients while playing golf or at lunch on how much money they got. Think about it what is a judge? Former lawyer. What are our law makers and politicians? Former lawyers. What a racket they got going huh? Last but not least they are all scum bags and how they can sleep at night with what they do to people is beyond me. They are just cold blooded humans with no shame. I was thinking about becoming a lawyer but the only problem is I am warm blooded and a person that cares.

Based on personal experiences, Jim submits that this writer nailed it!

To begin with, Jim will present the argument that the legal industry throughout the State of Nevada may be the most corrupt in the USA and that the culture of Las Vegas may be the most predatory and corrupt in the USA!

Nothing is absolute, percentage points of 1% are actually honest but they are not lawyers they are crusaders.
Nevada received a failing grade of “F” and is fifth from the bottom amongst all 50 states!

State Integrity 2015

**Nevada gets F grade in 2015 State Integrity Investigation**

Gambling with voters' trust in the Silver State

By Felicia Mello  •  12:01 am, November 9, 2015 Updated: 12:01 pm, November 12, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>F(57)</td>
<td>46th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Access to Information</td>
<td>F(44)</td>
<td>28th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Financing</td>
<td>F(60)</td>
<td>34th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electoral Oversight</td>
<td>F(58)</td>
<td>35th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Accountability</td>
<td>C-(71)</td>
<td>8th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Accountability</td>
<td>D-(62)</td>
<td>26th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial Accountability</td>
<td>F(53)</td>
<td>35th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Budget Processes</td>
<td>C(76)</td>
<td>23rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Civil Service Management</td>
<td>D+(68)</td>
<td>7th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>F(60)</td>
<td>47th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Auditing</td>
<td>F(60)</td>
<td>48th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying Disclosure</td>
<td>F(59)</td>
<td>50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics Enforcement Agencies</td>
<td>F(55)</td>
<td>30th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Pension Fund Management</td>
<td>D-(61)</td>
<td>29th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public access to information, political financing, electoral oversight, Nevada received a failing grade of “F” and is fifth from the bottom amongst all 50 states!

Legislative accountability, judicial accountability, procurement, internal auditing, lobbying disclosure, ethical enforcement agencies, ad infinitum received failing grades in the state of Nevada!

Are you getting the picture yet!

To be blunt, Nevada industry, to include lawyers, in conjunction with Nevada government at all levels is a labyrinthine sewer of corruption and deception draining into a cesspool and that cesspool are the Nevada courts at all levels!
In theory, if an individual has a collection of supportable facts ad infinitum, then the opposing party in an adversarial setting is lying and cannot prevail.

In reality, in the State of Nevada, supportable facts are meaningless unless you are connected (big business, affluence, hotshot lawyers, relatives etc.) you cannot prevail with any state institution in the State of Nevada, end of story!

Every year tens of thousands of hard-working, taxpaying and law-abiding Nevada residents are victimized in an extremely predatory private sector.

When these victims appeal to law enforcement or to virtually any other county and/or state agency and ultimately to the court system at all levels, they will be victimized again and again and again and again ad infinitum!

The price of this corruption in Nevada takes a heavy toll.

Thousands of these repeat victims die every year as a result of the gross injustice, stress and grief that they are repeatedly subjected to.

CORRUPTION IN THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA

Jim filed a complaint with the State Bar of Nevada regarding his former attorneys to include Paul C. Ray, John Peter Lee, John C. Courtney, and Yvette Freedman.

Jim received a receipt of complaint from the State Bar of Nevada on April 5, 2011.

JIM RECEIVED A RESPONSE TO THIS COMPLAINT FROM LAWYER PAUL C RAY ON MAY 6, 2011.

Without any explanation by the State Bar of Nevada, lawyers John Peter Lee, Yvette Freedman, and John C Courtney were not included in the complaint process.

Jim included a request for a fee dispute determination, which was completely ignored by the State Bar of Nevada.

The response to Jim’s complaint from his former lawyer Paul C Ray was riddled with fraudulent misrepresentations and concealments!
Jim responded to these lies point for point and submitted this response to the State Bar of Nevada.

Jim served the State Bar of Nevada with a Subpoena Duces Tecum on June 27, 2011.

Jim received a letter of refusal to comply with Jim’s subpoena from the State Bar of Nevada on July 13, 2011.

Jim sent a letter to the State Bar of Nevada stating the following:


“Please allow me to express my gratitude for allowing me the extra time that I needed to complete my response.”

“I am a bit confused. On the 25th of January I submitted complaints regarding the law firm of John Peter Lee Ltd. this was two days before a court date to address a motion by the law firm of John Peter Lee to ADJUDICATE THE RIGHTS OF COUNSEL FOR ENFORCEMENT OF ATTORNEY’S LIEN AND FOR JUDGMENT OF ATTORNEY’S FEES.”

“This motion was heard again two days ago on the 13th of June. I informed the Judge that I had submitted a complaint against the law firm of John Peter Lee with the state of Bar of Nevada that clearly included a fee dispute.”

“The attorney regarding the law firm of John Peter Lee claimed that they had not received any correspondence from the State Bar of Nevada regarding this matter.”

“The Judge set a new court date for 15 August in anticipation of a decision from the State Bar of Nevada involving a fee dispute and all other matters.”

“Essentially what is happening is that I had already paid this law firm over $16,000 in attorney’s fees on the 20th of August the law firm of John Peter Lee fired Paul Ray who had been my attorney.”

“10 days later, I was awarded costs including attorney’s fees. Now the problem is that the maximum amount award of all for attorney’s fees in arbitration is $3000.”
“I was never informed by anyone of that fact.”

“No John Peter Lee is attempting to extract an additional $36,000 from me. Compounding the confusion is that I received a letter from you dated the 5th of April, indicating

“... Receipt of your complaint concerning Paul Ray ... Nothing was mentioned of the law firm of John Peter Lee and attorney John Courtney.”

“To further add to the confusion I received another letter from you dated the 6th of May of stating, “enclosed is a copy of correspondence from attorneys Paul Ray, John Courtney and John Peter Lee in response to your grievance letter” all that was enclosed was the response from Paul Ray.”

“The last sentence in the first letter states, “our function is to determine whether an attorney has violated the RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, and if so, to take measures sufficient to avoid a recurrence. This statement asserts a preclusion of any disciplinary action in my present situation including a fee dispute. Have I been wasting my time next? I have to question your resoluteness in dealing with my extremely serious matter”

James R Aymann

JIM SENT A STRONGER LETTER OF DISSATISFACTION TO THE SBN ON 8/8/2011.

Please consider the contents of this letter: for the Discipline Office – Sept. 8, 2011

“On 1/25/2011, I submitted multiple grievances, grievance # (SC 11 – 0156) while I received a response from attorney Paul Ray.

I submitted a detailed reply to his response.

Three months have passed since I submitted that reply and I submitted that reply and I am and have been completely in the dark as to what is going on.”

“I have left numerous voicemail messages with no response from your end! Is this intentional?
Is this your standard operational procedure or am I simply being jerked around!

Make no mistake I am serious as a heart attack regarding these very legitimate grievances!”

James Aymann

ON NOVEMBER 10, 2011, JIM RECEIVED THE FOLLOWING LETTER FROM THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA:

“Dear Mr. Aymann the office of bar counsel has considered your grievance regarding the above referenced attorneys after reviewing the court records and information received.”

“It has been determined that any professional misconduct in this matter could not be proved by “clear and convincing evidence” which is the standard of proof required in any disciplinary cases.”

“Accordingly, the grievance has been dismissed, and as such, the matter is closed.”

“The decision by our office however does not affect any private remedies that might be available to you.”

“Thank you for bringing this matter to the attention of our office.”

Sincerely, Philip J. Pattee, Assistant Bar Counsel

JAMES R. AYMANN’S RESPONSE

Jim’s complaint against the Defendants in this lawsuit was replete with supporting exhibits!

This complaint included John Peter Lee, John Courtney, Yvette Freedman, and the law firm of John Peter Lee Ltd.

This law firm and these individuals were never even contacted by the State Bar of Nevada about Jim’s legitimate grievances.
An audio disc containing the conversation between lawyer Paul C Ray and Jim Aymann was submitted to the State Bar of Nevada along with a copy of the transcript of this conversation.

It is Jim’s contention that this constitutes “Clear and convincing evidence” that Jim was never informed by Paul C. Ray or anyone else associated with the law firm of John Peter Lee limited, that there is a $3000 limit for the recovery of attorney’s fees in arbitration.

Jim’s multiple requests for a fee dispute were never even acknowledged!

At no time was there any communication whatsoever between Jim and Phillip J. Pattee of the State Bar of Nevada other than one way letters of correspondence that were literally months apart!

At no time was there any face-to-face communication.

This did not even include conversations on the telephone.

Voicemails and letters were a joke and ignored.

The State Bar of Nevada refused to comply with a Subpoena Duces Tecum requesting all information and documents involving the termination of his former lawyer Paul C Ray by his employer lawyer John Peter Lee!
IN THE DISTRICT COURT
COUNTY OF CLARK

JAMES R. AYMANN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALANA PETERSON; ELaina
PETERSON; JOHN DOES I-V, inclusive
and ROE CORP A through E, inclusive,

Defendant,

Case No. A586400
Dept No. XXXI

SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO:

Nevada State Bar
600 E. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89101

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, that all and Singular, business and excuses set aside,
you appear at 200 E. Stewart, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 on the ___ day of June, 2011, at the hour
of 9 a.m.

RECORDS REQUIRED: Any and all documents relating to any Grievance by Paul Ray
against John Peter Lee, Ltd., and/or John Peter Lee [Grievance #SC11-0198] and any and all Nevada
State Bar complaints or files against Paul Ray during the period he was employed by James R.
Aymann.

If you fail to appear, you will be deemed guilty of contempt of Court, and liable to pay all
loses and damages caused by your failure to appear and, in addition, forfeit One Hundred ($100.00)
dollars.

Submitted by:

JAMES R. AYMANN

COURT CLERK

DEPUTY: ELAINA R. CROMM
July 13, 2011

James R. Aymann
P.O. Box 61272
Las Vegas, NV 89160

RE: James R. Aymann v. Alana Peterson, Et al., Case No. A586400
Subpoena for State Bar records regarding John Peter Lee & Paul Ray

Dear Mr. Aymann:

The State Bar of Nevada is in receipt of your Subpoena Duces Tecum requesting copies of any and all documents concerning: (1) "any Grievance by Paul Ray against John Peter Lee, Ltd. and/or John Peter Lee [Grievance #SC11-0198]"; and (2) "any and all State Bar complaints or files against Paul Ray during the period he was employed by James R. Aymann."

Request Number 1. Pursuant to NRCP 45(c)(2)(B), the State Bar hereby withholds and objects to production of the documents/information in Grievance No. SC11-0198 on the basis that these items are privileged and confidential pursuant to SCR 121 (Confidentiality) and Duro v. State Bar, 106 Nev. 229, 790 P.2d 500 (1990).

Request Number 2. Pursuant to NRCP 45(c)(2)(B), the State Bar objects to this request seeking “any and all State Bar complaints or files against Paul Ray during the period he was employed by James R. Aymann” on the basis that the subpoena did not specify the time period in which Mr. Ray was employed by you. Further, the request did not specify whether you sought complaints or files that were received or opened by the State Bar during this unspecified time period of employment, or, if you sought complaints or files that concerned Mr. Ray’s conduct during the period of employment regardless of when it was received or opened by the State Bar.

The State Bar’s objection notwithstanding, please be informed there are no documents that would be responsive to your request, with the possible exception of your grievance against Mr. Ray. Should you seek a copy of your grievance concerning Mr. Ray, please contact this office.

James R. Aymann
July 13, 2011
Page 2 of 2

With this response, it is our understanding that the State Bar has timely complied with your subpoena, which was received by our office on June 29, 2011 (the subpoena did not indicate a response date). If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Glenn M. Machado
Assistant Bar Counsel
Lawyers in Clark County Nevada have the ability to repeatedly trick, cheat, and lie to their clients with impunity.

With the aid of law enforcement that refuses to get involved and Judges that literally look the other way, refusing to even comment on the gross improprieties that these lawyers’ clients are subjected to is contemptible and a complete and total disgrace!

Joanna S. Kishner is such a Judge!

Kerry Louise Earley is such a Judge!

Lawyers are literally able to trick, cheat, and lie to their clients in all matters to include falsely inducing them to sign fee agreements without informing them of what they are truly getting into!

At the cost of tens of thousands of dollars that can never recovered

Jim submits that his grievance against lawyers Paul C Ray, John Peter Lee, Yvette Freedman, John C Courtney and the law firm of John Peter Lee Ltd, was replete with supporting exhibits to more than establish, “clear and convincing evidence.”

In addition, Jim’s request for a fee dispute was never acknowledged!

Once again, Lawyers in Clark County Nevada have the ability to repeatedly trick, cheat, and lie to their clients with impunity!

The State Bar of Nevada has been established by and is funded by the Supreme Court of Nevada.

The State Bar of Nevada is not an independent agency and is compelled to conform to the dictates of the Supreme Court of Nevada.

The Supreme Court of Nevada receives federal funds.

The State Legislature of Nevada is not without fault!

Numerous statutes favoring lawyers have been changed at the expense of the hard-working, taxpaying, and law-abiding citizens of this state!

It is a disgraceful display of absolute power and unbridled cronyism at its worst!
Jim’s struggles against these lawyers and the courts are still ongoing!

Jim filed a lawsuit against Las Vegas lawyers Paul C. Ray, John Peter Lee, Yvette Freedman and the law firm of John Peter Lee Ltd.

Judge Kerry Louise Earley granted all motions to dismiss this lawsuit.

The Supreme Court of Nevada reversed in part this “Order of Dismissal”!

Episodes one, four and eight have also been updated and revised.

Episode one involves the complete and total disregard for “Fraud upon the Court” in Nevada Courts as experienced by James R. Aymann (Jim)

Episode four involves the deception, treachery and mistreatment of James R. Aymann by Las Vegas lawyers Paul C. Ray, John Peter Lee, Yvette Freedman and the law firm of John Peter Lee Ltd. In much greater detail.

Episode Eight involves Catherine Cortez – Masto, who in her tenure as Attorney General of the State of Nevada, refused to assist a disabled combat veteran of the Vietnam War with a legitimate written criminal complaint.

Please note, this refusal was contrary to her mission statement to assist senior citizens that have been victimized by scam artists!

Also note, Catherine Cortez – Masto is currently running for the office of United States Senator representing the state of Nevada.

**Episode Eight**

![Image of cartoon depicting a culture of corruption]
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